

## ***In vitro* activity of fluconazole and voriconazole against clinical isolates of *Candida* spp. by E-test method**

Madhavan, P.<sup>1</sup>, Jamal, F.<sup>1\*</sup>, Chong, P.P.<sup>2</sup> and Ng, K.P.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, <sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, University Malaya, Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia

\*Corresponding author email: farida@medic.upm.edu.my

Received 23 February 2010; received in revised form 16 March 2010; accepted 19 March 2010

**Abstract.** The *in vitro* susceptibility of clinical *Candida* isolates towards fluconazole and voriconazole was determined using the E-test method. A total of 41 clinical isolates recovered from patients since 2004 until 2009 from two local hospitals in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia were used. These comprised *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida albicans*, *Candida krusei*, *Candida parapsilosis*, *Candida rugosa*, *Candida dubliniensis* and *Candida glabrata*. Strains from American Type Culture Collection were used as quality control. Lawn cultures of the isolates on RPMI-1640 agar medium supplemented with 2% glucose were incubated with the E-test strips at 35°C for 48 h. Our results show that 71% were susceptible to fluconazole and 90% were susceptible to voriconazole. All strains of *C. krusei* were resistant to fluconazole and 50% were susceptible in a dose-dependent manner to voriconazole. There were 66% and 33% of *C. glabrata* that were resistant to fluconazole and voriconazole. Our study revealed that majority of the clinical *Candida* isolates was susceptible to fluconazole and voriconazole with a small percentage being resistant to both the drugs.

### INTRODUCTION

Lately, there have been reports of resistance to azole drugs among clinical isolates of non-albicans *Candida* species. Standard treatment for *Candida* infections in the past was with amphotericin B. Azole drugs like fluconazole are suitable alternative because they are less toxic (Wilson & Gisvold, 1998). Azoles such as itraconazole, voriconazole and posaconazole are also used in the treatment of fungal infections (MIMS, 2008). Species like *Candida krusei* and *Candida glabrata* are becoming difficult to treat as they are known to be intrinsically resistant or have decreased susceptibility to fluconazole and itraconazole (Borg-von Zepelin *et al.*, 2007; Gonzales *et al.*, 2008; Quindos *et al.*, 2008). Although new

triazoles like voriconazole and posaconazole are known to be more effective as treatment for *Candida* infections, there have been reports of resistance among a few of these clinical *Candida* strains especially from *C. glabrata* towards voriconazole (Diekema, 2009). It was also reported that the efficacy of the treatment in patients with candidiasis using voriconazole was only 57.5% (Perfect *et al.*, 2003). The aim of this study was to determine the susceptibility of clinical *Candida* isolates towards fluconazole and voriconazole from two local hospitals. We have employed the E-test method as it is documented as comparable to Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute's microdilution, macrodilution and disk-diffusion methods (Espinel-Ingroff *et al.*, 1996; Pfaller *et al.*, 1996, 1998, 2000,

2002, 2003; Barry *et al.*, 2002; Maxwell *et al.*, 2003).

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

### Isolates

*Candida* species isolated from clinical specimens of two local hospitals in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia from 2004 until 2009 were studied. These isolates were from blood (3), nail (1), skin (1), sputum (1), urine (1), vagina (4) and unknown sites (30). Stock cultures were prepared in 20% glycerol and were stored at -80°C. They were thawed and cultured on Sabouraud's dextrose agar (Difco, USA) at least twice to ensure their purity and viability before antifungal susceptibility tests were performed. The isolates were re-identified using CHROMagar™ *Candida* (Becton Dickinson, USA) and RAPID Yeasts Plus System (Remel, USA) to confirm the species. Total number of clinical isolates used was 41. The quality control strains used in every batch of test were *Candida parapsilosis* ATCC 22019, *C. krusei* ATCC 6258 and *Candida albicans* ATCC 90028 (CLSI, 2000).

### Inoculum Preparation

The inocula were prepared following the guidelines of Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2000) Document M27-A2. After preparing 24 h cultures of the isolates at 37°C, 5 colonies were suspended in a sterile test tube containing 1 mL of 0.85% NaCl for each isolate. The mixture was vortexed at low speed to obtain homogeneity. The absorbance of this suspension was measured using a spectrophotometer to obtain cell density that is equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standards, which gave approximately  $0.5 \times 10^3$  to  $2.5 \times 10^3$  cells/ mL. An absorbance at 625 nm should be within 0.08 and 0.10 for the 0.5 McFarland standards.

### Antifungal Agents

E-test strips containing fluconazole and voriconazole with continuous concentration gradient were purchased from AB Biodisk,

Sweden. Fluconazole concentration ranged from 0.016 – 256 µg/ mL and voriconazole concentration ranged from 0.002 – 32 µg/ mL. All strips were stored at -20°C and thawed at room temperature before use.

### Antifungal Susceptibility Testing

All isolates were tested against fluconazole and voriconazole according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Lawn cultures of the *Candida* isolates were prepared on RPMI-1640 agar medium supplemented with 2% glucose (pH 7.0) in Petri dishes. The plates were allowed to dry for several minutes at room temperature. E-test strips were gently placed on the lawn cultures with the MIC scale facing upwards using a sterile forcep. The Petri dishes were incubated at 35°C for 48 h as recommended by the manufacturer. The MIC values were read where the inhibition ellipse intersected the strip which was interpreted as the lowest concentration at which 80% of the growth was inhibited. Growth of micro-colonies throughout a discernable inhibition ellipse was ignored. The acceptable MIC ranges for fluconazole and voriconazole are shown in Table 1.

## RESULTS

Overall, the most predominantly isolated species was *Candida tropicalis* (n=10), followed by *C. albicans* (n=7), *C. parapsilosis* (n=6), *C. krusei* (n=6), *Candida rugosa* (n=6), *Candida dubliniensis* (n=3) and *C. glabrata* (n=3). The geometric mean values of MIC for control and clinical strains are shown in Table 2. The quality control strains with each batch of test were within the control limits for fluconazole and voriconazole. For all clinical isolates, the MIC values for voriconazole were lower compared to fluconazole. The highest MIC value obtained for voriconazole was 6 µg/mL and fluconazole was more than 256 µg/mL. All species of *Candida* showed *in vitro* susceptibility towards voriconazole except for one strain of *C. glabrata* that was resistant to voriconazole with the MIC of 6

Table 1. MIC interpretive guidelines for *in vitro* susceptibility testing of *Candida* species (CLSI, 2000)

Antifungal Agent (MIC µg/ mL)	Susceptible (µg/ mL)	Susceptible Dose- dependent (µg/ mL)	Resistant (µg/mL)	Quality Control Strains (µg/ mL)
Fluconazole (0.016-256)	≤8	16–32	≥64	<i>C. parapsilosis</i> ATCC 22019 (1 – 8) <i>C. krusei</i> ATCC 6258 (128 – ≥ 256) <i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 90028 (0.125 – 0.5)
Voriconazole (0.002-32)	≤1	2	≥4	<i>C. parapsilosis</i> ATCC 22019 (0.016 – 0.064) <i>C. krusei</i> ATCC 6258 (0.25 – 1) <i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 90028 (0.004 – 0.016)

Table 2. MIC values for *Candida* spp.

<i>Candida</i> species (n)	Antifungal Agent	Mean MIC <sub>80</sub> (µg/mL)	MIC range (µg/mL)
<i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 90028 (1)	Fluconazole	S = 0.5	N/A
	Voriconazole	S = 0.004	
<i>C. krusei</i> ATCC 6258 (1)	Fluconazole	R = > 256	N/A
	Voriconazole	S = 0.25	
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> ATCC 22019 (1)	Fluconazole	S = 1.5	N/A
	Voriconazole	S = 0.023	
<i>C. tropicalis</i> (10)	Fluconazole	S = 0.5	0.25 – 1.5
	Voriconazole	S = 0.1	0.023 – 0.25
<i>C. albicans</i> (7)	Fluconazole	S = 1.35	0.125 – 8
	Voriconazole	S = 0.033	0.002 – 0.19
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (6)	Fluconazole	S = 0.41; SDD = 12	0.023 – 12
	Voriconazole	S = 0.0298	0.004 – 0.064
<i>C. krusei</i> (6)	Fluconazole	R = > 192	64 - >256
	Voriconazole	S = 0.375; SDD = 1.83	0.50 – 2
<i>C. rugosa</i> (6)	Fluconazole	S = 6; SDD = 12	0.094 – 12
	Voriconazole	S = 0.049	0.003 – 0.094
<i>C. glabrata</i> (3)	Fluconazole	S = 1.5; R = 176	1.5 - >256
	Voriconazole	S = 0.142; R = 6	0.094 – 6
<i>C. dubliniensis</i> (3)	Fluconazole	S = 0.18	0.047 – 0.25
	Voriconazole	S = 0.006	0.004 – 0.008

\*S = susceptible; SDD = susceptible dose-dependent; R = resistant; N/A = not applicable

µg/mL and three strains of *C. krusei* that were susceptible dose-dependent for voriconazole. As for fluconazole, two strains of *C. glabrata* with their MIC values of 96 µg/mL and more than 256 µg/mL and all six strains of *C. krusei* were resistant towards this antifungal drug. One species of *C. parapsilosis* and two strains of *C.*

*rugosa* were susceptible dose-dependent for fluconazole with their MIC value of 12 µg/mL. Two different zones of growth (ellipse) were observed only for *C. albicans* isolates and the smaller ellipse with microcolonies was ignored as instructed by the manufacturer for the MIC values.

Among the 41 clinical isolates tested with E-test strips, 100% of *C. albicans*, *C. dubliniensis* and *C. tropicalis* were susceptible to both of the drugs *in vitro*. In addition, 100% *in vitro* susceptibility was found among *C. parapsilosis* and *C. rugosa* towards voriconazole whereas the susceptibility of *C. krusei* and *C. glabrata* towards this drug were 50% and 67%, respectively. *In vitro* susceptibility of *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis* and *C. rugosa* towards fluconazole were 33%, 83% and 67%, respectively. All *C. krusei* isolates (100%) were found resistant to fluconazole. From the total clinical isolates (41), we found 71%, 7% and 22% were susceptible, susceptible dose-dependent and resistant to fluconazole whereas 90%, 7% and 3% were susceptible, susceptible dose-dependent and resistant to voriconazole.

#### DISCUSSION

Antifungal susceptibility tests using E-test method is uncommon due to cost. Comparative studies done using E-test and broth microdilution tests were reported to be more than 90% in agreement with the MIC values obtained for fluconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole and voriconazole, against *Candida* spp. (Colombo *et al.*, 1995; Pfaller *et al.*, 1998, 2000; Chryssanthou & Cuenca-Estrella, 2002; Matar *et al.*, 2003). The overall intra- and interlaboratory concordance of E-test method with microdilution reference method was found to be 90% in Italy (Morace *et al.*, 2002). Reproducibility of the MIC values using E-test on quality control strains of *Candida* was proven across four laboratories using five antifungal agents (Pfaller *et al.*, 1996). The same quality control strains that were used in our study also suggested the reproducibility of the MIC values obtained using E-tests. The E-test method is also simple to perform compared to broth dilution method.

MIC values with E-test for the quality control strains recommended by CLSI and the manufacturer were within the

established range using RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 2% glucose for fluconazole and voriconazole. The use of RPMI-1640 for E-test method in this study was used as recommended by the manufacturer and was also found an optimum growth medium for various *Candida* species with excellent MIC correlation among many laboratories for azoles (Espinel-Ingroff *et al.*, 1996; Pfaller *et al.*, 1996, 2000). The results in our study show that voriconazole is still more effective than fluconazole in controlling the growth of *C. krusei* and *C. glabrata* which are two species of *Candida* that are known to be either intrinsically resistant or have decreased susceptibility to fluconazole. Voriconazole is a more effective azole compared to fluconazole in all the isolates tested except for one strain of *C. glabrata* that showed *in vitro* resistance towards voriconazole. Our results can be supported with a global surveillance study done across 26 countries between 1997 and 1998 (Meis *et al.*, 2000). In this study, *C. albicans* had the highest susceptibility (99%) towards fluconazole, followed by *C. parapsilosis* (94%), *C. tropicalis* (90%), *C. glabrata* (67%) and *C. krusei* (26%), with the lowest. In another study conducted in Israel, local clinical isolates of *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. parapsilosis* and *C. glabrata* showed 100% susceptibility to voriconazole (Samra *et al.*, 2005) which was the same in our study except for *C. glabrata*.

In another global surveillance study done between 2004 and 2007, the susceptibility of *Candida* isolates towards voriconazole still remained high for all species tested except for 95% of *C. glabrata* which demonstrated *in vitro* resistance towards voriconazole (Diekema *et al.*, 2009). A French multicenter study reported the susceptibility of clinical *Candida* and *Aspergillus* isolates towards 4 antifungal agents using E-test (Mallie *et al.*, 2005). In that report, voriconazole was found to be more effective than amphotericin B against *C. albicans*, *Candida kefyr*, *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis*. Voriconazole was also found to

inhibit the growth of the fluconazole-resistant and susceptible dose-dependent isolates of *Candida* isolates *in vitro* (Pfaller *et al.*, 2002).

However in another study, all the clinical *Candida* isolates tested *in vitro* (*C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *Candida guilliermondii*, *Candida lipolytica*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. tropicalis*) were found susceptible to fluconazole (Pinto *et al.*, 2008). A 14-year study in a Spanish tertiary medical centre revealed that 100% *C. krusei* was resistant to fluconazole, which was the same in our study and 6.7% was resistant to voriconazole (Quindos *et al.*, 2008). However, Quindos *et al.* (2008) also reported that 85.7% and 92.9% of *C. glabrata* isolates were susceptible towards fluconazole and voriconazole respectively, which were much higher than our findings. A small percentage of *Candida* clinical isolates were found resistant to voriconazole in a phase III clinical study mainly among *C. albicans* (6.5%), *C. glabrata* (30%), *Candida inconspicua* (22%) and *C. tropicalis* (5.4%) (Johnson *et al.*, 2008). In our study, we found that one of the two strains of *C. glabrata* that were fluconazole-resistant was also resistant to voriconazole and three of the six fluconazole-resistant *C. krusei* were susceptible dose-dependent towards voriconazole. Reduced susceptibility to fluconazole and/ or itraconazole was also found among *C. glabrata* and *C. krusei* isolates of the Danish population (Arendrup *et al.*, 2005). There were also reports showing that clinical *Candida* isolates with decreased susceptibility towards fluconazole having high significant MIC values towards voriconazole and posaconazole (Rautemaa *et al.*, 2008). Although voriconazole is more effective than fluconazole against many fungal species, adverse effects such as transient and reversible visual disturbances, changes in colour perception, photosensitivity, abnormal liver functions, diarrhoea, injection site reactions and infusion site reactions can be observed (MIMS, 2008).

E-test is a reliable and effective alternative to broth microdilution or disk-diffusion methods of MIC determination for azole drugs as it is simple to perform and does not require laborious procedures. Our study also shows that majority of our clinical *Candida* strains show *in vitro* susceptibility towards fluconazole and voriconazole with a small percentage that are resistant towards these drugs, except for *C. krusei* all of which are resistant towards fluconazole. However, there may be possibilities that fluconazole-resistant isolates of *C. krusei* and *C. glabrata* can be acquiring resistance towards voriconazole which would require a larger set of data over time to study their resistance pattern.

*Acknowledgments.* The authors would like to thank University Putra Malaysia for funding this research under the RUGS Research Grant.

## REFERENCES

- Arendrup, M.C., Fursted, K., Gahrn-Hansen, B., Schonheyder, H.C., Knudsen, J.D., Jensen, I.M., Bruun, B., Christensen, J.J. & Johansen, H.K. (2005). Semi-national surveillance of fungaemia in Denmark 2004-2006: notably high rates of fungaemia and numbers of isolates with reduced azole susceptibility. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **43**: 4434-4440.
- Barry, A.L., Pfaller, M.A., Rennie, R.P., Fuchs, P.C. & Brown, S.D. (2002). Precision and accuracy of fluconazole susceptibility testing by broth microdilution, Etest and disk-diffusion methods. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **46**: 1781-1784.
- Borg-von Zepelin, M., Kunz, L., Ruchel, R., Reichard, U., Weig, M. & Groh, U. (2007). Epidemiology and antifungal susceptibilities of *Candida* spp to six antifungal agents: results from a surveillance study on fungaemia in Germany from July 2004-August 2005.

- Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **60**: 424–428.
- Chryssanthou, E. & Cuenca-Estrella, M. (2002). Comparison of the antifungal antibiotic susceptibility testing proposed standard and the Etest with the NCCLS broth microdilution method for voriconazole and caspofungin susceptibility testing of yeast species. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **40**: 3841–3844.
- CLSI. (2002). Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute Reference Method for Broth Microdilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts; Approved Standard, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Document M27-A2. Wayne, USA **22(15)**.
- Colombo, A.L., Barchiesi, F., McGough, D.A. & Rinaldi, M.G. (1995). Comparison of Etest and National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards broth macrodilution method for azole antifungal susceptibility testing. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **33**: 535–540.
- Diekema, D.J., Messer, S.A., Hollis, R.J., Boyken, L., Tendolkar, S., Kroeger, J., Jones, R.N. & Pfaller, M.A. (2009). A global evaluation of voriconazole activity tested against recent clinical isolates of *Candida* sp. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* **63**: 233–236.
- Espinel-Ingroff, A., Pfaller, M., Erwin, M.E. & Jones, R.N. (1996). Interlaboratory evaluation of Etest method for testing antifungal susceptibilities of pathogenic yeasts to five antifungal agents by using casitone agar and solidified RPMI-1640 medium with 2% glucose. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **34**: 848–852.
- Gonzales, G.M., Elizondo, M. & Ayala, J. (2008). Trends in species distribution and susceptibility of bloodstream isolates of *Candida* collected in Monterrey, Mexico, to seven antifungal agents: results of a 3-year (2004–2007) surveillance study. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **46**: 2902–2905.
- Johnson, E., Espinel-Ingroff, A., Szekeley, A., Hockey, H. & Troke, P. (2008). Activity of voriconazole, itraconazole, fluconazole and amphotericin B in vitro against 1763 yeasts from 472 patients in voriconazole phase III clinical studies. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* **32**: 511–514.
- MIMS. (2008). Malaysian Index of Medical Specialities Official Drug Reference of the Malaysian Medical Association. 112<sup>th</sup> Ed. CMP Medica, Malaysia.
- Mallie, M., Bastide, J.M., Blancard, A., Bonnin, A., Bretagne, S., Cambon, M., Chandenier, J., Chauveau, V., Couprie, B., Datry, A., Feuilhade, M., Grillot, R., Guigen, C., Lavarde, V., Letscher, V., Linas, M.D., Michel, A., Morin, O., Paugam, A., Piens, M.A., Raberin, H., Tissot, E., Toubas, D. & Wade, A. (2005). In vitro susceptibility testing of *Candida* and *Aspergillus* spp. to voriconazole and other antifungal agents using E-test®: results of a French multicentre study. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* **25**: 321–328.
- Matar, M.J., Ostrosky-Zeichner, L., Paetznick, V.L., Rodriguez, J.R., Chen, E. & Rex, J.H. (2003). Correlation between E-test, disk diffusion and microdilution methods for antifungal susceptibility testing of fluconazole and voriconazole. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **47**: 1647–1651.
- Maxwell, M.J., Messer, S.A., Hollis, R.J., Boyken, L., Tendolkar, S., Diekema, D.J., Pfaller, M.A. & the International Fungal Surveillance Participant Group. (2003). Evaluation of Etest method for determining fluconazole and voriconazole MICs for 279 clinical isolates of *Candida* species infrequently isolated from blood. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **41**: 1087–1090.
- Meis, J., Petrou, M., Billie, J., Ellis, D., Gibbs, D. & the Global Antifungal Surveillance Group. (2000). A global evaluation of the susceptibility of

- Candida* species to fluconazole by disk diffusion. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* **36**: 215–223.
- Morase, G., Amato, G., Bistoni, F., Fadda, G., Marone, P., Montagna, M.T., Oliveri, S., Polonelli, L., Rigoli, R., Mancuso, I., La Face, S., Masucci, L., Romano, L., Napoli, C., Tato, D., Buscema, M.G., Belli, C.M.C., Piccirillo, M.M., Conti, S., Covan, S., Fanti, F., Cavanna, C., D'Alo, F. & Pitzurra, L. (2002). Multicentre Comparative evaluation of six commercial systems and the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards M27-A broth microdilution method for fluconazole susceptibility testing of *Candida* species. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **40**: 2953–2958.
- Perfect, J.R., Marr, K.A., Walsh, T.J., Greenberg, R.N., DuPoint, B., de la Torre-Cisneros, J., Just-Nubling, G., Schlamm, H.T., Lutsar, I., Espinel-Ingroff, A. & Johnson, E. (2003). Voriconazole treatment for less-common, emerging or refractory fungal infections. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **36**: 1122–1131.
- Pfaller, M.A., Diekema, D.J., Boyken, L., Messer, S.A., Tendolkar, S. & Hollis, R.J. (2003). Evaluation of Etest and disk-diffusion methods for determining susceptibilities of 235 bloodstream isolates of *Candida glabrata* to fluconazole and voriconazole. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **41**: 1875–1880.
- Pfaller, M.A., Diekema, D.J., Messer, S.A., Boyken, L. & Hollis, R.J. (2003). Activities of fluconazole and voriconazole against 1586 recent clinical isolates of *Candida* species determined by broth microdilution, disk diffusion and Etest methods: report from the ARTEMIS global antifungal susceptibility program, 2001. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **41**: 1440–1446.
- Pfaller, M.A., Messer, S.A., Hollis, R.J., Jones, R.N. & Diekema, D.J. (2002). In vitro activities of ravuconazole and voriconazole compared with those four other approved systemic antifungal agents 6,970 clinical isolates of *Candida* spp. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **46**: 1723–1727.
- Pfaller, M.A., Messer, S.A., Houston, A., Mills, K., Bolmstrom, A. & Jones, R.N. (2000). Evaluation of the Etest method for determining voriconazole susceptibilities of 312 clinical isolates of *Candida* species by using three different agar media. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **38**: 3715–3717.
- Pfaller, M.A., Messer, S.A., Karlsson, A. & Bolmstrom, A. (1998). Evaluation of Etest method for determining fluconazole susceptibilities of 402 clinical yeasts isolates by using three different agar media. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **36**: 2586–2589.
- Pfaller, M.A., Messer, S.A., Mills, K. & Bolmstrom, A. (2000). *In vitro* susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi: comparison of Etest and reference microdilution methods for determining itraconazole MICs. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **38**: 3359–3361.
- Pfaller, M.A., Messer, S.A., Mills, K., Bolmstrom, A., Odds, F.C. & Rex, J.H. (1996). Multisite reproducibility of the Etest MIC method for antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts isolates. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **34**: 1691–1693.
- Pinto, P.M., Weikert-Oliveira, R.C.B., Lyon, J.P., Cury, V.F., Arantes, R.R., Koga-Ito, C.Y. & Resende, M.A. (2008). *In vitro* antifungal susceptibility of clinical isolates of *Candida* spp. obtained from patients with different predisposing factors to candidosis. *Microbiological Research* **163**: 579–585.
- Quindos, G., Sanchez-Vargas, L.O., Villar-Vidal, M., Eraso, E., Alkorta, M. & Hernandez-Almaraz. (2008). Activities of fluconazole and voriconazole against bloodstream isolates of *Candida glabrata* and *Candida krusei*: a 14-year study in a Spanish tertiary medical centre. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* **31**: 266–271.

- Rautemaa, R., Richardson, M., Pfaller, M.A., Perheentupa, J. & Saxen, H. (2008). Activity of amphotericin B, anidulafungin, caspofungin, micafungin, posaconazole and voriconazole against *Candida albicans* with decreased susceptibility to fluconazole from APECED patients on long-term azole treatment of chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* **62**: 182–185.
- Samra, Z., Yardeni, M., Peled, N. & Bishara, J. (2005). Species distribution and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* bloodstream isolates in a tertiary medical center in Israel. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* **24**: 592–595.
- Wilson & Gisvold. (1998). Antifungal Drugs. In *Textbook of Organic Medicinal and Pharmaceutical Chemistry*. 10<sup>th</sup> Ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 190pp.