Larvicidal, ovicidal and repellent activities of *Cymbopogan citratus* Stapf (Graminae) essential oil against the filarial mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say) (Diptera : Culicidae)

Pushpanathan, T., Jebanesan, A. and Govindarajan, M.

Division of Vector Biology, Department of Zoology, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar-608 002, Tamilnadu, India.

Corresponding author email: drjeban@rediffmail.com

Received 20 September 2006; received in revised form 14 November 2006; accepted 15 November 2006.

Abstract. Essential oils extracted by steam distillation from *Cymbopogan citratus* were evaluated for larvicidal, ovicidal and repellent activities against the filarial mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus*. The larval mortality was observed after 24 hours treatment. The LC_{50} values calculated for the 2nd, 3rd and 4th larval instar were 144.54 ± 2.3, 165.70 ± 1.2 and 184.18 ± 0.8 ppm respectively. Hundred percent ovicidal activity was observed at 300 ppm. Skin repellent test at 1.0, 2.5 and 5.0 mg/cm² concentration of *C. citratus* gave 100% protection up to 3.00, 4.00 and 5.00 hours respectively. The total percentage of protection of this essential oil was 49.64% at 1.0 mg/cm², 62.19% at 2.5 mg/cm² and 74.03% at 5.0 mg/cm² for 12 hours.

INTRODUCTION

Mosquitoes are the oldest human enemy and are well known vectors of several diseases causing pathogens. The mosquito Culex quinquefasciatus acts as a vector for Wuchereria bancrofti responsible for filariasis in India. The study of biologically active materials derived from plant sources can act as larvicides, insect growth regulators, repellents and ovipositional attractants and have deterrent activities as observed by many researchers (Babu & Murugan, 1988; Venkatachalam & Jebanesan, 2001a & b). In recent years, essential oils have received much attention as potentially useful bioactive compounds against insects (Kim et al., 2001). Other essential oils from plants like Myrtus comunis, Origanum syriacum, Laventula stoechos and pure compounds like thymol, carvacrol and apinene have been documented for larvicidal activities towards Culex pipens molestus (Traboulsi et al., 2002). The present study deals with larvicidal, ovicidal and repellent effects of essential oil of *Cymbopogan citratus* against *Cx. quinquefasciatus*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Extraction of essential oil

Whole plants *C. citratus* commonly known as lemongrass were collected from Nilgiris, Western Ghats, Tamilnadu, India. The essential oil was isolated by steam distillation for 6 hours and moisture in the essential oil was removed by anhydrous sodium sulphate and stored at 4°C for further experimentation.

Test organism

The filarial vector, *Cx. quinquefasciatus* were reared in the laboratory, maintained at $27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, 70-80% relative humidity (RH), with a photoperiod of 14 h light and 10 h dark. The larvae were fed with dog biscuits and yeast powder in 3:1 ratio. Adults were provided with 10% sucrose solution and

10% multivitamin syrup and they were periodically blood-fed on restrained rats.

Mosquito larvicidal test

Testing of the plant essential oil C. citratus for larvicidal activity was carried out at different concentrations ranging from 100 to 300 ppm in distilled water for 24 h according to standard procedure (WHO, 1981). As the essential oil does not dissolve in water it was first dissolved in ethanol (99.8%). The test medium was prepared by adding 1.0 ml of appropriate dilution of essential oil in ethanol and mixed with 249 ml of water to make up 250 ml of test solution. Twentyfive 2nd, 3rd and 4th instar larvae of Cx. quinquefasciatus were collected separately and transferred gently to the test medium and simultaneously a control was maintained with ethanol-water mixture. The larval mortality in both treated and control were recorded after 24 hrs. The LC₅₀ value was calculated by Probit analysis (Finney, 1971).

Mosquito ovicidal test

The method of Su & Mulla (1998) was followed for the ovicidal activity. Hundred freshly layed eggs of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* were exposed to five (100 to 300 ppm) concentration of essential oil of *C. citratus* in distilled water. Each concentration was replicated six times. Distilled water mixed with ethanol served as control. The hatch rate was assessed 120 h post treatment by the following formula.

Number of hatched larvae	- x 100
Total number of egg in treated water	A 100

Repellent activity

The percentage of protection is relation to dose method was used (WHO, 1996). Three-four days old blood-starved female Cx. quinquefasciatus mosquito (100) were kept in a net cage $(45 \times 30 \times 45 \text{ cm}^2)$. The arms of the test person were cleaned with ethanol and ethanol served as control. After air drying the arms of the test person, only 25 cm² dorsal side of the skin on the each arm was exposed and the remaining area being covered by rubber gloves. The essential oil of C. citratus was applied at 1.0, 2.5 and 5.0 mg/cm² separately (Venkatachalam & Jebanesan, 2001a). The control and treated arms were introduced simultaneously into the cage. The number of bites was counted over 3 minutes every 30 minutes from 18:00 h to 06:00 h. The experiment was conducted five times for each concentration. It was observed that there was no skin irritation from the essential oil tested. The percentage of protection was calculated by using the formula:

% protection =		Number of bites received by treated arm	× 100
76 protection -	Number of bites received by control arm		

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cymbopogan citratus essential oil exhibited toxicity to *Cx. quinquefasciatus* larvae. The statistical data are presented in Table 1. The LC_{50} values are 144.54 ± 2.3 , 165.70 ± 1.2 and 184.18 ± 0.8 ppm for 2^{nd} , 3^{rd} and 4^{th} instar respectively. Chi-square values were significant at p<0.05 level. From the LC_{50} it was evident that higher concentration was required for 3^{rd} and 4^{th} instars. The mean

Table 1. Larvicidal activity of essential oil C. citratus against Cx. quinquefasciatus

Instar	LC ₅₀ (ppm)	LC ₉₀ (ppm)	Regression equation	95% Confidence limit (ppm)		Chi-square
				LCL	UCL	(d.f)
II	144.54 ± 2.3	284.27 ± 1.9	Y = 4.424 + 4.363 X	118.72 ± 0.6	175.96 ± 1.6	7.865* (4)
III	165.70 ± 1.2	318.48 ± 1.3	Y = -5.028 + 4.518X	139.43 ± 2.1	196.93 ± 1.0	8.025* (4)
IV	184.18 ± 0.8	359.01 ± 2.0	Y = -5.017 + 4.422X	152.65 ± 1.9	222.65 ± 2.3	10.267* (4)

Values were based of five concentration and six replication ± SE with 25 larvae in each

* Significant at p < 0.05 level.

percent of egg hatchability of Cx. quinquefasciatus is shown in Table 2. Arcsin transformed data are also presented and regression equation is Y = 6.4785 + 0.2598 X. Hundred percent ovicidal activity was observed at higher concentration of 300 ppm. This study revealed that C. citratus had repellency activity against the adult mosquito Cx. quinquefasciatus. The results of mean protection in relation to dose of C. citratus essential oil are presented in Table 3. Maximum of 100% protection time was obtained at the concentration of 5.0 mg/ cm². This is statistically significant (1.0 mg/ cm^2 , t = 11.511; 2.5 mg/cm², t = 16.705; 5 mg/ cm^2 , t = 10.755, p<0.001) between treated and control groups.

The results are also comparable with earlier reports of Ansari *et al.* (2005) who observed larvicidal activity of *Pinus*

 Table 2. Ovicidal activity of C. citratus essential oil against Cx. quinquefasciatus

Concentration (ppm)	% egg mortality (arc- sin transformed data)	Regression equation	
300	$100 \pm 0.0 (90.00)$		
250	$88.6 \pm 1.3 (70.80)$		
200	$66.8 \pm 0.9 (54.87)$	Y = 6.4785 +	
150	$44.1 \pm 1.4 (41.65)$	0.2598 X	
150	$44.1 \pm 1.4 (41.65)$		
100	$22.4 \pm 1.6 (28.16)$		
Control	$4.6 \pm 0.4 (12.32)$		

Values are mean of six replicates \pm SE

longifolia oil against three vector mosquitoes namely Ae. aegypti (LC₅₀ – 82.1ppm), Cx. quinquefasciatus (LC₅₀ – 85.7 ppm) and An. stephensi ($LC_{50} - 112.6$ ppm). Cavalcanti et al. (2004) reported that the larvicidal activity of essential oils of Brazilian plants against *Aedes aegypti* and observed the LC_{50} to range from 60 to 533 ppm. Prajapati et al. (2005) reported that the larvicidal activity of different plants essential oil showed varied LC_{95} values against Cx. quinquefasciatus. They were Pimpinella anism (149 µg/ml), Zingiber officinalis (202 µg/ml), Junipers macropoda (204 µg/ml), Cinnamomum zeylanicum (277 µg/ml), Curcuma longa (292 µg/ml), Cyperus scariosus (408 µg/ml), Ocimum basilicum (315 µg/ml), Cuminum cyminum (344 µg/ ml) and Nigella sativa (365 µg/ml). Similar results were observed in this present study. Tawastin et al. (2001) reported the repellent activity of turmeric, kaffir lime, citronella grass and hairy basil with the addition of 5% vannillin provided protection up to six hours. Fradin & Day (2002) have reported bioinsecticide IR 3535 in the USA tested against laboratory reared Ae. aegypti female mosquitoes, and provided protection for an average of 22.9 minutes and also reported that the repellent activity of DEET (N,Ndiethyl-3-methylbenzamide) 23.8% ingredient provided protection for an average of 301 min. Although DEET has been claimed to be safe for use against biting insects for over 40 year it may still pose a risk to human health (Osimitz & Grothaus, 1995).

Table 3. Repellent activity of C. citratus essential oil against Cx. quinquefasciatus

Concentration of essential oil (mg/cm ²)	Mean number of bites \pm SE		Mean number of 100%	Total % of	't' value
	Control	Treated	protection (hours)	protection for 12 hours	(df)
1.0	69.50 ± 2.44	35.00 ± 2.49	3.00	49.64	11.511* (5)
2.5	67.00 ± 2.88	25.00 ± 2.21	4.00	62.19	16.705* (5)
5.0	64.66 ± 1.26	17.83 ± 1.79	5.00	74.03	10.755* (5)

Values are mean of six replicates \pm SE

*Significant at p <0.001 level.

Therefore, it is necessary to look for and find a better repellent, which could provide a safer and long-lasting protection against arthropod bites. The results of our study showed that essential oils were very effective against mosquito bites. Rajkumar & Jebanesan (2004) studied ovicidal activity of Moschosma polystachyum leaf extract against Cx. quinquefasciatus and observed 100% egg mortality at 100 ml/l. Insecticidal activity of essential oil, even from the same source, can be inherently variable for many reasons. The chemical composition and broad spectrum of biological activity for essential oils can vary with plant age, the plant tissues, geographical origin of plant, organ used in the distillation process, the type of distillation and the species and age of a targeted pest organism (Chiasson et al. 2001). In the present study it was concluded that the essential oil of C. citratus exhibited effective larvicidal, ovicidal and repellent properties. Further studies on identification of active compounds for larval control and commercial preparation of repellent products and field trials are needed to recommend the development of ecofriendly chemicals from this plant based oil for mosquito control and protection against the bites of haematophagous insects.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Professor and Head, Department of Zoology, Annamalai University for laboratory facilities provided.

REFERENCES

- Ansari, M.A., Mittal, P.K., Razdan, R.K. & Sreehari, U. (2005). Larvicidal and mosquito repellent activities of pine (*Pinus longifolia*, Family: Pinaceae) oil. *Journal of Vector Borne Diseases* **42**: 95-99.
- Babu, R. & Murugan, K. (1998). Interactive effect of neem seed kernel and neem gum extracts on the control of *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say. *Neem Newsletter* **15:** 9-11.

- Cavalcanti, E.S.P., Morais, S.M, Lima, M.A. & Santana, E.W. (2004). Larvicidal activity of essential oils from Brazilian plants against Aedes aegypti L. Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 99: 541-544.
- Chiasson, H., Belanger, A., Bostaniah, A., Vincent, C. & Poliquin, A. (2001).
 Acaricidal properties of Artemisia abinthium and Tanacetum vulgare (Asteraceae) essential oil obtained by three methods of extraction. Journal of Economic Entomology 19: 167-171.
- Finney, D.J. (1971). *Probit Analysis*. Third ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp.68-72.
- Fradin, M.S. & Day, J.F. (2002). Comparative efficacy of insect repellent against mosquito bites. *The New England Journal of Medicine* **13:** 347-418.
- Kim, S.I., Shin, O.K., Song, C., Cho, K.Y. & Ahn, Y.J. (2001). Insecticidal activities of aromatic plant extracts against four agricultural insects. *Agricultural Chemistry and Biotechnology* **44**: 23-26.
- Osimitz, T.G. & Grothaus, R.H. (1995). The present safety assessment of DEET. *Journal of American Mosquito Control Association* **7**: 210-237.
- Prajapati, V., Tripathi, A.K., Aggarwal, K.K. & Khanuja, S.P. (2005). Insecticidal, repellent and ovipositional-deterrent activity of selected essential oil against Anopheles stephensi, Aedes aegypti and Culex quinquefasciatus. Bioresource Technology 96: 1749-1757.
- Rajkumar, S. & Jebanesan, A. (2004). Ovicidal activity of Moschosma polystachyum Linn. (Lamiaceae) leaf extract against filarial vector Culex quinquefasciatus Say. Tropical Biomedicine 21: 47-50.
- Su, T. & Mulla, M.S. (1998). Ovicidal activity of neem products (*Azadirachtin*) against *Culex tarsalis* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera : Culicidae). Journal of American Mosquito Control Association **14:** 201-209.

- Tawatsin, A., Wratten, S.T., Scott, R.R., Thavara, U. & Techadamrongsin, T. (2001). Repellency of volatile oils from plants against three mosquitoes. *Journal* of Vector Ecology **6**: 76-82.
- Traboulsi, A.F., Taoubi, K., El-Haj, S., Bessiere, J.M. & Salma Ramal. (2002).
 Insecticidal properties of essential plant oils against the mosquito *Culex pipiens molestus* (Diptera : Culicidae). *Pest Management Science* 58: 491-495.
- Venkatachalam, M.R. & Jebanesan, A. (2001a). Repellent activity of Ferronia elephantum Corr. (Rutaceae) leaf extracts against Aedes aegypti. Bioresource Technology 76: 287-288.
- Venkatachalam, M.R. & Jebanesan, A. (2001b). Larvicidal activity of *Hydrocotyle javanica* Thunb. (Apiaceae) extract against *Culex quinquefasciatus*. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 4: 99-101.
- WHO. (1981). Instruction for determining the susceptibility or resistance of mosquito larvae to insecticides. *Mimeographed Document*, WHO/VBC/ 81.807.
- WHO. (1996). Report of the WHO informal consultation, on the evaluation and esting of insecticides. CTD/WHOPES/IC/ 96.1 p. 69.