Light microscopy and molecular identification of Sarcocystis spp. in meat producing animals in Selangor, Malaysia
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Abstract. One thousand and forty-five tissue samples of skeletal muscles, tongue, heart, diaphragm and esophagus were collected from 209 animals (43 sheep, 89 goats and 77 cattle) from an abattoir in Selangor between February and October, 2013. Each sample was divided into three pieces with each piece measuring 2-3 mm³. Each piece was then squeezed between two glass slides and examined microscopically at x 10 magnification for the presence of sarcocystosis. Three positive samples from each animal species were then fixed in 10% formalin for histological processing. Seven positive samples collected from each animal species were preserved at -80°C or 90% ethanol for gene expression studies. Microsarcocysts were detected in 114 (54.5%) animals by light microscopy (LM). The infection rates in sheep, goat and cattle were 86, 61.8 and 28.6% respectively. The highest rate of infection was in the skeletal muscles of sheep (64.9%) and goats (63.6%) and in the heart of cattle (63.6%). The cysts were spindle to oval in shape and two stages were recognized, the peripheral metrocytes and centrally located banana-shaped bradyzoites. 18S rRNA gene expression studies confirmed the isolates from the sheep as S. ovicanis, goats as S. capracanis and cattle as S. bovicanis. This, to the best of our knowledge, is the first molecular identification of an isolate of S. ovicanis and S. capracanis in Malaysia. Further studies with electron microscopy (EM) are required in the future to compare the features of different types of Sarcocystis spp.

INTRODUCTION

Sarcocystis is a zoonotic disease caused by coccidian intracellular protozoan of the genus Sarcocystis (Levine, 1986). Sarcocystis spp. require two hosts to complete its life cycle; with the sexual cycle in the intestine of a carnivore as a definitive host and asexual cycle in the tissues of a herbivore as an intermediate hosts (Dubey, 1976; Fayer, 2004). Four species of Sarcocystis have been reported in sheep, namely; Sarcocystis ovicanis and Sarcocystis arieticanis that are transmissible by canids, and Sarcocystis ovifelis and Sarcocystis medusiformis that are transmissible by felids. In goats, Sarcocystis capracanis and Sarcocystis hircicanis are transmissible via canids and in cattle, Sarcocystis bovicanis is transmitted via canids, whereas Sarcocystis hirsute and Sarcocystis hominis via felids and human respectively (Levine, 1986; Dubey et al., 1989).

Diagnosis of muscular sarcocystosis is by way of examination of tissue samples from infected hosts, primarily from the skeletal muscle, tongue, heart, diaphragm, and esophagus. Two types of Sarcocystis cyst have been identified; macroscopic cyst that is visible to the naked eye and microscopic cyst that can be detected microscopically. Light microscopy and ultrastructural studies have shown different species of Sarcocystis among livestock. Serological tests such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) has also been used to detect
sarcocystosis in animals and humans (Thomas & Dissanaike, 1978; Latif et al., 1999). In recent years, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has been used for the identification of Sarcocystis spp. in animals and humans. PCR has better sensitivity and specificity in parasite detection and is able to screen a large number of samples compared to electron microscopy (Pritt et al., 2008). Numerous studies on sarcocystosis using PCR in cattle (Pritt et al., 2008; More et al., 2011), camel (Motamedi, 2011), captive bear (Davies et al., 2011), water buffaloes (Oryan et al., 2011), pigeons (Olias et al., 2013), pigs (Yan et al., 2013), and other animals (Fayer, 2004) have been reported.

In Malaysia, most studies performed e.g. on animals in the wild (Zaman, 1970), monkeys (Prathap, 1973), rodents (Dissanaike et al., 1975; Zaman & Colley, 1975: Kan & Dissanaike, 1976; Lai, 1977; Ambu et al., 2011), bandicoot (Kan, 1979), zoo animals (Dissanaike et al., 1977; Latif et al., 2010), water buffaloes (Dissanaike et al., 1977; Dissanaike & Kan, 1978, Kan & Dissanaike, 1978), sheep (Norlida et al., 2012) and cattle (Latif et al., 2013) for the identification of sarcocystosis have depended on the morphology, size of the cyst, and the thickness of the cyst wall. There are relatively few studies that have used PCR for identification of Sarcocystis sp. in Malaysia (Latif et al., 2013). The aims of this study therefore were to identify the common species of Sarcocystis using PCR, and their prevalence in sheep, goat and cattle slaughtered in one of the large abattoirs in Selangor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of samples
One thousand and forty-five tissue samples (n=1045) were collected from 209 animals (43 sheep, 89 goats, 77 cattle) from an abattoir in Selangor, Malaysia between February and October 2013. Tissue samples weighing about 200 g each were taken from the tongue, heart, diaphragm, esophagus and skeletal muscle and transferred immediately in self-sealing plastic bags to the parasitology lab at the Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) and stored in at 4°C for further study.

Microscopic examination
Three pieces, each measuring 2-3 mm³, were cut from each tissue sample and squeezed firmly between two glass slides and examined microscopically at 10 x magnifications. Some of the tissue samples that were positive for Sarcocystis spp. were then stored at -80°C or in 90% ethanol for PCR studies, while some were fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin and embedded in paraffin blocks for histological examination. The tissues were then cut into sections of 3-5 mm thickness and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

DNA extraction and PCR
Seven samples from sheep, goat and cattle that were positive for Sarcocystis on light microscopy were cut into small pieces weighing 2 mg each and DNA was extracted using Gene JET Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. PCR was carried for amplification of D2 region in conserved regions of the 18S rRNA of Sarcocystis spp. with the estimated amplicon size of 350bp. PCR amplification was carried out using Phusion Flash PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific, USA) where forward primer SAD2F (5’-GGAAGCGATTGGAACC-3’) and reverse primer SAD2R (5’-CCTTGGTCCGTGTTTCA-3’ (Wunschmann, 2011) were used. All amplification reactions were performed using Mastercycler Gradient (Eppendorf, Germany). Reaction mixture consisted of 200 pM of each primer, 25µl of 2X Phusion Flash PCR Master Mix, 2µl DNA template, and topped with nuclease-free water to a volume of 50µl. The thermal profile consisted of initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 30 seconds denaturation at 95°C, 30 seconds annealing at 55°C, and 1 minute extension at 72°C, with a 10 minute final extension at 72°C. Gel electrophoresis was done to validate the presence of amplified PCR product before being processed for sequencing. The gel was viewed and analyzed using GelDocXr +
System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA) and MyImage Analysis Software (Thermo Scientific, USA). PCR product purification was done using GeneJET Gel Extraction and DNA Clean-Up Kit (Thermo Scientific, USA). The sequencing process was carried out in First BASE Laboratories, Malaysia. Similarity between sequences from this study and the sequences deposited in the GenBank was searched using NCBI basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast) to obtain Sarcocystis spp. genotype from the samples.

RESULTS

Light microscopy

Of 209 animals examined, 114 (54.5%) were positive for Sarcocystis spp on light microscopy. The infection rates were 86%, 61.8% and 28.6% in sheep, goat and cattle respectively (Table 1). According to the organs, sarcocysts were identified in the skeletal muscle (64.9%), diaphragm (48.6%), heart (43.2%), tongue (40.5%), esophagus (35.1%) in sheep; skeletal muscle (63.6%), diaphragm (49.1%), tongue (47.3%), esophagus (29.1%), heart (5.5%) in goats; and heart (63.6%), tongue (40.9%), skeletal muscle (27.3%), esophagus (27.3%) in cattle.

The cysts were spindle to oval in shape, and two stages were recognized, namely; the peripheral metrocytes and centrally located banana-shaped bradyzoites (Figure 1). The mean sizes of the cyst were 172.96µm x 53.64µm, 131.68µm x 49.49µm, and 151.66µm x 75.83µm for sheep, goat and cattle respectively. The wall thickness was 2.85, 1.56 and 2.47µm for sheep, goat and cattle respectively. The size of the bradyzoite was 10.43µm x 2.24µm for sheep, 9.34µm x 2.88µm for goat and 15.23µm x 2.2µm for cattle (Table 2).

PCR analysis

Parasite-specific 18S rRNA gene PCR confirmed S. ovicanis in the sheep (97% sequence similarity with Gene Bank accession no. AF076899); S. capracanis in goat (98% sequence similarity with GeneBank accession no.AF012885); and S. bovicanis in cattle (97% sequence similarity with GeneBank accession no.AF076903) (Table 3).

Gel electrophoresis analysis of the PCR isolates showed the DNA fragment size ranging between 300 and 350 bp (Figure 2). No band was detected for negative control. The sequencing results after nucleotide sequencing were aligned with other Sarcocystis sequences deposited in the GenBank database, using NCBI BLAST software, which revealed that all the isolates from sheep matched with S. ovicanis, from the goat with S. capracanis and from the cattle with S. bovicanis.

DISCUSSION

This is the first prevalence study combining light microscopy and molecular identification of Sarcocystis spp in tissue samples from sheep, goat and cattle in Malaysia.

The overall prevalence of microsarcocyst was 54.5%. Norlida et al. (2012) had reported a prevalence of 52.8% in sheep in the state of Kelantan. Latif et al. (2013) found the infection rate in cattle in Selangor at 36.2%. In this study the infection rates in sheep, goat and cattle were 86%, 61.8% and 28.6% respectively. The difference in the rate of infection in sheep and cattle might be attributed to the sample size in addition to the different regions and the availability of the definitive hosts (canids). The prevalence of Sarcocystis infection (28.6–86%) in this study was lower than that reported in many other countries. Some of the reported prevalence rates include 84.0% in sheep in the United States (Dubey et al., 1989) 82.4%
Table 2. Size and morphology of sarcocysts of sheep, goats and cattle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Size /µm</th>
<th>Wall / µm*</th>
<th>Bradyzoite/µm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Heart &amp; diaphragm</td>
<td>Spindle to oval</td>
<td>172.96 x 53.64</td>
<td>Thin /2.85</td>
<td>10.43 x 2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goats</td>
<td>Tongue &amp; diaphragm</td>
<td>Spindle to oval</td>
<td>131.68 x 49.49</td>
<td>Thin /1.56</td>
<td>9.34 x 2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>Skeletal muscle</td>
<td>Spindle to oval</td>
<td>151.66 x 75.83</td>
<td>Thin /2.47</td>
<td>15.23 x 2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Thin wall < 3 µm [Ref.8]. The number represents the mean thickness of the wall measured from 5 – 7 sarcocysts.

Figure 1. Microsarcocysts in histological tissues of animals stained with Hematoxylin & eosin (H&E) and viewed under compound microscope. A and B: Heart of sheep x40, x100; C and D: Tongue of goat x40, x100; E and F: Muscle of cattle x40, x100. Thin arrow in B: cyst wall; thick arrow: bradyzoites.
Table 3. Tissue samples of sheep, goats and cattle positive for *Sarcocystis* sp. by microscopy and species identification by PCR and genotyping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal No.</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Organ*</th>
<th><em>Sarcocystis</em> spp.</th>
<th>Gene Bank accession no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>H</td>
<td><em>S. ovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>E</td>
<td><em>S. ovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>E</td>
<td><em>S. ovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>H, E, SM</td>
<td><em>S. ovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>E</td>
<td><em>S. ovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>H, SM</td>
<td><em>S. ovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>T, D</td>
<td><em>S. ovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>goats</td>
<td>T, D</td>
<td><em>S. capracanis</em></td>
<td>AF012885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>goats</td>
<td>T</td>
<td><em>S. capracanis</em></td>
<td>AF012885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>goats</td>
<td>D</td>
<td><em>S. capracanis</em></td>
<td>AF012885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>goats</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td><em>S. capracanis</em></td>
<td>AF012885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>goats</td>
<td>T</td>
<td><em>S. capracanis</em></td>
<td>AF012885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>goats</td>
<td>E, SM</td>
<td><em>S. capracanis</em></td>
<td>AF012885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>goats</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td><em>S. capracanis</em></td>
<td>AF012885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>cattle</td>
<td>T</td>
<td><em>S. bovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>cattle</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td><em>S. bovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016</td>
<td>cattle</td>
<td>H</td>
<td><em>S. bovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>054</td>
<td>cattle</td>
<td>H, E, T</td>
<td><em>S. bovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>061</td>
<td>cattle</td>
<td>H</td>
<td><em>S. bovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>066</td>
<td>cattle</td>
<td>H, E</td>
<td><em>S. bovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>086</td>
<td>cattle</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td><em>S. bovicanis</em></td>
<td>AF076903</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SM: skeletal muscle; T: tongue; H: heart; D: diaphragm; E: esophagus

Figure 2. Electrophoresis of PCR product of *Sarcocystis* isolated from sheep, goats and cattle. The DNA fragment size ranged between 300 and 350 bp. Lane 1 and 15: 1kb DNA Ladder, lane 2 negative control and lane 3 to 14 PCR product from selected tissues.
in the cattle in Australia (Savini et al., 1992), 97.0% in sheep, 97.4% in goat and 97.8% in cattle in Iraq (Latif et al., 1999), 97.0% in sheep, 97.4% in goat and 97.8% in cattle in Iraq (Latif et al., 1999), 96.9% in sheep and 94.7% in cattle in Mongolia (Fukuyo et al., 2002), 99.5% in cattle in Argentina (More et al., 2011) 100% in sheep and 94.7% in cattle in Iran (Hamidinejat et al., 2010; Dehaghi et al., 2013). The low prevalence of sarcocystosis in meat producing animals in Malaysia in comparison with other countries could be attributed to the low population of stray dogs. Dogs are known as a definitive host of Sarcocystis spp. of livestock (Dubey et al., 1989).

This study also showed variable rates of distribution of sarcocysts in the tissues. In sheep and goat, the infection was higher in the skeletal muscles (64.3%) followed by diaphragm (48.9%) whereas in the cattle it was in the heart (63.6%) followed by the tongue (40.9%). In Egypt, Morsy et al. (2011) reported that the skeletal muscle of goats was the most predominant infected site (77%) followed by diaphragm (74%). In Mongolia, Fukuyo et al. (2002) reported the infection rate was 100% in the tongue in the sheep and 100% in the heart in cattle. Dafedar et al. (2008) showed the infection rate in goats to be higher (69.45%) in the esophagus than in other tissues in Bangalore, India. It was reported that in cattle sarcocysts are most commonly found in the cardiac muscle followed by diaphragm and esophagus while in the sheep, it is in the esophagus (CFSPH, 2005). Latif et al. (2013) reported the infection rate was 27% in both skeletal muscle and diaphragm in cattle in Malaysia. The differences in the infection rate of the organs of sheep, goat and cattle might be due to various external factors, such as probable cyst contamination, isolates responsible for infection, or differences in the ecological habitats and nutritional status of the hosts that may lead to variations in the immunity of the host against infection (Morsy et al., 2011).

In all animals, the cysts were spindle to oval in shape, and the mean sizes in each animal species were 172.96µm x 53.64µm in sheep, 131.68µm x 49.49µm in goats, and 151.66µm x 75.83µm in cattle. The wall was thin, with the thickness of 2.85µm in sheep, 1.56µm in goat and 2.47µm in cattle. The size of the bradyzoite was 10.43µm x 2.24µm in sheep, 9.34µm x 2.88µm in goats and 15.23µm x 2.2µm in cattle. Barham et al. (2004) found that the microcysts of S. capracanis in goats were spindle shaped and measuring 529µm x 62µm with a wall thickness of 3.2µm. In Egypt, Morsy et al. (2011) found that the microcysts of S. capracanis were elongated to spindle in shape with a mean size of 141.5µm in length and 63.5µm in width and a cyst wall thickness of 2µm. In cattle, the cyst wall of S. bovicanis was thin (< 1 µm) and the size was 450 µm x 80µm. Dubey (1976) reported the size of bradyzoite of S. bovicanis at 11–14µm x 2.5–3.5µm and Morsy et al. (2011) showed that the bradyzoites of S. capracanis measured 13-15µm. These differences in the size of the cysts and internal structures could be due to the age of the cyst and the strain of the Sarcocystis spp. (Figure 1). These variations in size and internal structures could make morphological identification a little difficult, and hence the need to use molecular based techniques like PCR to aid in the identification of sarcocystosis.

Different methods have been used for diagnosis of sarcocystosis, including muscle squash, digestion method, cross-sectional and IFAT (Dubey et al., 1989; Latif et al., 1999). Recently, molecular based techniques (PCR) have been used to detect Sarcocystis spp. in intermediate hosts (Guclu et al., 2004; Pritt et al., 2008). Differentiation of Sarcocystis spp. by PCR technique is important because some species are more pathogenic to the livestock and most importantly to detect the zoonotic species such as the cattle species S. hominis. In the present study, PCR identified only S. ovicanis, S. capracanis, and S. bovicanisin sheep, goat and cattle respectively, which are strictly animal species and no human infections have been reported from these species.

Based on our study, we recommend using the combination of muscle squash, histological and PCR methods for the detection of sarcocystosis in livestock, which will reflect the actual prevalence of the disease instead of just using single type of
detection method. Further ultrastructural studies (TEM) would shed more light on the cyst wall and internal structures of each species.

Our focus is on the combined approach in the diagnosis of sarcocystosis in Malaysia, which has hitherto not been conducted. Molecular identification established the presence of *S. ovicanis* and *S. capracanis* in this region. This will prove useful for all those involved in public health care. Identification of the species itself is a good pointer to the prevalence of these particular species here. As Malaysians have a special predilection for raring cats it is important that the problem of the spread of sarcocystosis infection in Malaysia is well dealt with. In addition, sarcocystosis is still prevalent in animals slaughtered for human consumption in Malaysia. Hence, it is imperative to ensure that meat is well-cooked to prevent possible infection of the definitive hosts. Furthermore, this study has demonstrated the first attempt at molecular identification of *S. ovicanis* (sheep) and *S. capracanis* (goats) in Malaysia.
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