Geographic distribution and genetic compatibility among six karyotypic forms of *Anopheles peditaeniatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Thailand

Saeung, A.^{1*}, Baimai, V.², Thongsahuan, S.³, Min, G.S.⁴, Park, M.H.⁴, Otsuka, Y.⁵, Maleewong, W.⁶, Lulitanond, V.⁶, Taai, K.¹ and Choochote, W.¹

¹Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand ²Department of Biology and Centre for Vectors and Vector-Borne Diseases, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

³Faculty of Veterinary Science (Establishment Project), Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla 90110, Thailand

⁴Department of Biological Sciences, Inha University, Incheon 402-751, South Korea

⁵Department of Infectious Disease Control, Faculty of Medicine, Oita University, Oita, 879-5593, Japan ⁶Research and Diagnostic Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand

*Corresponding author email: atsaeung@mail.med.cmu.ac.th; atiporn44@yahoo.com Received 14 August 2012; accepted 10 October 2012

Abstract. Fifty-three isolines of *Anopheles peditaeniatus* were established from individual wild-caught females collected from cow-baited traps in 17 provinces of Thailand. Three types of X (X₁, X₂, X₃) and 6 types of Y (Y₁,Y₂, Y₃, Y₄, Y₅, Y₆) chromosomes were determined based on different amounts of major block(s) of heterochromatin. These sex chromosomes comprised 6 karyotypic forms designated as Forms A (X₃, Y₁), B (X₁, X₂, X₃, Y₂), C (X₃, Y₃), D (X₁, X₂, X₃, Y₄), E (X₁, X₂, X₃, Y₅) and F (X₂, X₃, Y₆). Form F is a new metaphase karyotype discovered in this study and is commonly found in all regions. Form A was found only in Lampang province, whereas Form E is widespread throughout the country. Forms B, C and D were obtained from the northern, northeastern, western and southern regions. Crossing experiments among the 11 isoline colonies representing the 6 karyotypic forms of *An. peditaeniatus* indicated genetic compatibility yielding viable progenies and complete synapsis of salivary gland polytene chromosomes through to the F₂-generations. The results suggested the conspecific nature of these karyotypic forms which were further supported by very low intraspecific variation (genetic distance = 0.000-0.003) of nucleotide sequences in ribosomal DNA (ITS2) and mitochondrial DNA (COI and COII).

INTRODUCTION

Anopheles (Anopheles) peditaeniatus belongs to the Lesteri Subgroup, Hyrcanus Group of the Myzorhynchus Series. It is widely distributed in Asia including Thailand, Myanmar, Cambodia, Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Vietnam, Borneo, Celebes, China, India, Sri Lanka and Nepal (Reid, 1968; Scanlon *et al.*, 1968; Harrison & Scanlon, 1975). *Anopheles peditaeniatus* is considered a suspected vector of human malaria, Plasmodium vivax, in Thailand (Gingrich et al., 1990; Rattanarithikul et al., 2006). In addition, it has been incriminated as a secondary vector of Japanese encephalitis virus in China and India (Zhang, 1990; Kanojia et al., 2003). However, its status as a vector of the Japanese encephalitis virus remains a crucial question in Thailand, although it is widespread throughout the country. Due to the vicious biting-behavior of An. peditaeniatus on cattle and its ability to transmit filariae of the genus Setaria, it is

considered an economic pest (Reid *et al.*, 1962; Reid, 1968; Harrison & Scanlon, 1975). Although *An. peditaeniatus* has never been incriminated as natural and/or suspected vector in endemic areas of filariasis caused by *Brugia malayi*, it has been demonstrated to be a good experimental vector for transmitting this filarial nematode (Wharton *et al.*, 1963). Our recent experiment has shown that *An. peditaeniatus* exhibited high potential as a vector to *B. malayi* (Narathiwat province, southern Thailand strain) (unpublished data).

Cytologically, Baimai et al. (1993) found that An. peditaeniatus from Chiang Mai, Phrae and Chanthaburi provinces, Thailand showed different forms of mitotic sex chromosomes due to extra block(s) of heterochromatin. Recently, Choochote (2011) reported crossing experiments and DNA sequence analyses of internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) of ribosomal DNA (rDNA), cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and cytochrome c oxidase subunit II (COII) of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) among 8 allopatric strains (Chiang Mai, Nan, Udon Thani, Ubon Ratchathani, Kamphaeng Phet, Ratchaburi, Chon Buri and Chumphon provinces) which represented 4 karyotypic forms (B, C, D and E) of An. peditaeniatus in Thailand.

We report herein a new karyotypic form of *An. peditaeniatus*, crossing experiments among the 6 karyotypic forms and comparative DNA sequencing of the ITS2, COI and COII regions of 53 isolines obtained from different populations in Thailand.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field collections and establishment of isoline colonies

Wild-caught, fully engorged females of *An. peditaeniatus* were collected from cowbaited traps in 17 provinces of Thailand (Fig. 1, Table 1). A total of 53 isolines were successfully established and maintained in our insectary using the techniques described by Choochote *et al.* (1983) and Kim *et al.* (2003). These isolines were used in this study.

Metaphase karyotype preparation

Metaphase chromosomes were prepared from 10 samples of early 4th instar larval brains of F_{1-} and/or F_{2-} progenies of each isoline of *An. peditaeniatus* using the techniques previously described by Saeung *et al.* (2007). Identification of karyotypic forms followed the standard cytotaxonomic key of Baimai *et al.* (1993).

Crossing experiments

Eleven laboratory-raised isolines of An. peditaeniatus were selected arbitrarily from the 53 isolines representing the 6 karyotypic forms, i.e., Forms A (Lp3A), B (Nk1B, Ns4B), C (Cb3C, Ns8C), D (Ur5D, Ns5D), E (Cb5E, Tg3E) and F (Sb2F, Tg1F) (Table 2). These isolines were used for crossing experiments to determine post-mating reproductive isolation by employing the techniques previously reported by Saeung et al. (2007). The salivary gland polytene chromosomes of 4th instar larvae of F₁-hybrids from the crosses were investigated using the techniques described by Kanda (1979). Polytene chromosome arms were identified by comparing them with the euchromatic arms of mitotic karyotypes. The shortest chromosome is X; the autosomal long arms are designated as 2R and 3R, and short arms as 2L and 3L (White et al., 1975).

DNA extraction and amplification

One individual F1-progeny adult female from each isoline of each An. peditaeniatus Form (A-F) was used for DNA extraction and amplification. Genomic DNA was extracted from each individual adult mosquito using DNeasy® Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen). The ribosomal DNA (rDNA) internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2), and the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and subunit II (COII) were amplified using the primers described previously by Park et al. (2008b). The sequence data of this paper have been deposited in the DDBJ/EMBL/ GenBank nucleotide sequence database under accession numbers AB714987-AB715145. The ITS2, COI and COII sequences obtained from this study were also compared with deposited sequences available through GenBank (Table 1).



Figure 1. Map of Thailand showing 17 provinces where samples of *An. peditaeniatus* were collected and the number of isolines of the 6 karyotypic forms (A-F) detected in each location

		Code of	Karyotypic	and AINC	Genbar	ık accession n	umber	
FOC	cauon (Geographical coordinate)	isoline ^a	form	DNA Kegion	ITS2	COI	COII	kelerence
An.	, peditaeniatus							
1.	Chiang Mai	Cm2E	$E (X_3, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714987	AB715040	AB715093	This study
	$(18^{\circ} 47' \text{ N}, 98^{\circ} 59' \text{ E})$	Cm3F	$F(X_3, Y_6)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714988	AB715041	AB715094	This study
		Cm4E	$E (X_1, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714989	AB715042	AB715095	This study
		Cm7B	B (X_2, Y_2)	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714990	AB715043	AB715096	This study
2.	Nan	Nn1E	$E (X_3, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714991	AB715044	AB715097	This study
	$(18^{\circ} 48' \text{ N}, 100^{\circ} 45' \text{ E})$	Nn2B	$\mathrm{B}~(\mathrm{X}_2,~\mathrm{Y}_2)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714992	AB715045	AB715098	This study
		Nn3E	$E (X_3, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714993	AB715046	AB715099	This study
3.	Lampang	Lp1E	$E (X_3, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714994	AB715047	AB715100	This study
	$(17^{\circ} 53' \text{ N}, 99^{\circ} 20' \text{ E})$	$Lp3A^a$	$A (X_3, Y_1)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714995	AB715048	AB715101	This study
		Lp4E	$E (X_3, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714996	AB715049	AB715102	This study
		Lp5C	$C (X_3, Y_3)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714997	AB715050	AB715103	This study
4.	Phetchabun	Pb5F	$F(X_2, Y_6)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714998	AB715051	AB715104	This study
	$(16^{\circ} 25' \text{ N}, 101^{\circ} 08' \text{ E})$	Pb9E	$E(X_1, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB714999	AB715052	AB715105	This study
5.	Kamphaeng Phet	Kp2E	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715000	AB715053	AB715106	This study
	$(16^{\circ} 50' \text{ N}, 99^{\circ} 04' \text{ E})$	Kp5D	D (X_3, Y_4)	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715001	AB715054	AB715107	This study
6.	Nakhon Sawan	Nw5E	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715002	AB715055	AB715108	This study
	$(15^{\circ} 35' \text{ N}, 100^{\circ} 10' \text{ E})$	Nw6F	$F (X_3, Y_6)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715003	AB715056	AB715109	This study
7.	Saraburi	${ m Sb2F}^a$	$F (X_3, Y_6)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715023	AB715076	AB715129	This study
	$(14^{\circ} \ 30' \ N, \ 100^{\circ} \ 55' \ E)$	Sb7E	$E(X_{3}, Y_{5})$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715024	AB715077	AB715130	This study
		SDSE	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715025	AB715078	AB715131	This study
8.	Nong Khai	$Nk1B^{a}$	$B (X_1, Y_2)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715004	AB715057	AB715110	This study
	$(17^{\circ} 50^{\circ} \text{ N}, 102^{\circ} 46^{\circ} \text{ E})$	Nk2E	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715005	AB715058	AB715111	This study
		Nk4B	${ m B}~({ m X}_3,~{ m Y}_2)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715006	AB715059	AB715112	This study
		Nk6E	$E (X_3, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715007	AB715060	AB715113	This study
9.	Udon Thani	Ud2E	$E (X_3, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715008	AB715061	AB715114	This study
	$(17^{\circ} 24' \text{ N}, 102^{\circ} 47' \text{ E})$	Ud3E	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715009	AB715062	AB715115	This study
		Ud5F	$F(X_3, Y_6)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715010	AB715063	AB715116	This study
		Ud6E	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715011	AB715064	AB715117	This study
10.	Mukdahan	MdlE	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715012	AB715065	AB715118	This study
	$(15^{\circ} 24^{\circ} \text{ N}, 103^{\circ} 16^{\circ} \text{ E})$	Md2E	$E (X_3, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715013	AB715066	AB715119	This study
		Md4D	D (X_3, Y_4)	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715014	AB715067	AB715120	This study
		Md5E	$E(X_{3}, Y_{5})$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715015	AB715068	AB715121	This study

Table 1. Locations (17 provinces of Thailand), code of isolines, karyotypic forms of Anopheles peditaeniatus and their GenBank accession numbers

Ioc	ation (Poormahiaal accudinata)	Code of	Karyotypic	DMA Dogion	Genbar	ık accession n	umber	Doference
ğ	anon (deographical coormitate)	isoline ^a	form	DIAD INCENT	ITS2	COI	COII	TATELETICE
11.	Maha Sarakham	Ms1E	$E(X_{2}, Y_{5})$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715016	AB715069	AB715122	This study
	$(15^{\circ} 45' \text{ N}, 103^{\circ} 01' \text{ E})$	Ms3E	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715017	AB715070	AB715123	This study
		Ms4F	$F(X_3, Y_6)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715018	AB715071	AB715124	This study
12.	Ubon Ratchathani	UrlF	$F (X_3, Y_6)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715019	AB715072	AB715125	This study
	$(15^{\circ} 31' \text{ N}, 105^{\circ} 35' \text{ E})$	Ur4E	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715020	AB715073	AB715126	This study
		$\mathrm{Ur5D}^a$	D (X_1, Y_4)	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715021	AB715074	AB715127	This study
		Ur6E	$E (X_3, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715022	AB715075	AB715128	This study
13.	Chon Buri	$CD3C^{a}$	$C (X_3, Y_3)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715029	AB715082	AB715135	This study
	$(13^{\circ} 26' \text{ N}, 101^{\circ} 03' \text{ E})$	$CD5E^{a}$	$E(X_{3}, Y_{5})$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715030	AB715083	AB715136	This study
		Cb8E	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715031	AB715084	AB715137	This study
14.	Ratchaburi	Rb1B	B (X_3, Y_2)	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715026	AB715079	AB715132	This study
	$(13^{\circ} 21' N, 99^{\circ} 22' E)$	Rb4E	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715027	AB715080	AB715133	This study
		Rb10E	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715028	AB715081	AB715134	This study
15.	Chumphon	Cp2B	B (X_2, Y_2)	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715032	AB715085	AB715138	This study
	$(10^{\circ} 29' \text{ N}, 99^{\circ} 11' \text{ E})$	CpTE	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715033	AB715086	AB715139	This study
16.	Nakhon Si Thammarat	Ns1E	$E (X_2, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715034	AB715087	AB715140	This study
	$(08^{\circ} \ 29^{\circ} \ N, \ 100^{\circ} \ 0^{\circ} \ E)$	$Ns4B^{a}$	B (X_2, Y_2)	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715035	AB715088	AB715141	This study
		$Ns5D^{a}$	D (X_2, Y_4)	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715036	AB715089	AB715142	This study
		$N_{SSC^{a}}$	$C(X_3, Y_3)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715037	AB715090	AB715143	This study
17.	Trang	${ m Tg1F}^a$	$F (X_2, Y_6)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715038	AB715091	AB715144	This study
	$(07^{\circ} 33' N, 99^{\circ} 38' E)$	$Tg3E^a$	$E (X_3, Y_5)$	ITS2, COI, COII	AB715039	AB715092	AB715145	This study
	$ m Ratchaburi (13^{\circ}\ 21^{\prime}\ N,\ 99^{\circ}\ 22^{\prime}\ E)$	RbB	B (X_3, Y_2)	ITS2, COI, COII	AB539061	AB539069	AB539077	Choochote, 2011
	China	I	I	ITS2	AY129958	I	I	Ma & Xu, 2005
An.	sinensis							
	Korea	I	I	1TS2	EU789790	I	I	Park $et al., 2008a$
		I	$B (X, Y_2)$	COI	I	AY444351	I	Park $et al., 2003$
		ilBKR	$B(X, Y_2)$	COII	I	I	AY130464	Min <i>et al.</i> , 2002
An.	lesteri							
	Korea	I	I	ITS2	EU789791	I	I	Park $et \ al., 2008a$
	China	I	I	COI	I	EU699048	I	Yang & Ma, 2009
		I	I	COII	I	I	AY753146	Ma et al. (unpublished data)

Table 1. (continued)

						Total among	(70) " 0000
Currents (Domelo & Melo)	Total eggs	Embryonation	Hatched	Pupation	Emergence	IOUAL EILIER	
CIOSSES (L'EILIGIE A MALE)	$(number)^a$	rate^b	n (%)	u (%)	n (%)	Female	Male
Parental cross							
$Lp3A \ge Lp3A$	256(123, 133)	86	218 (85.16)	207 (94.95)	192(92.75)	91 (47.40)	101 (52.60)
Nk1B x Nk1B	281 (155, 126)	81	222 (79.00)	175(78.83)	175 (100.00)	86(49.14)	89(50.86)
Ns4B x Ns4B	281 (150, 131)	83	228 (81.14)	217 (95.18)	217 (100.00)	111 (51.15)	106(48.85)
$Cb3C \times Cb3C$	374 (130, 244)	91	314 (83.96)	308(98.09)	308 (100.00)	163 (52.92)	145(47.08)
Ns8C x Ns8C	381 (212, 169)	94	343(90.03)	333 (97.08)	333 (100.00)	176(52.85)	157 (47.15)
$Ur5D \times Ur5D$	261 (157, 104)	77	193 (73.95)	193 (100.00)	$193 \ (100.00)$	95(49.22)	98 (50.78)
$Ns5D \times Ns5D$	300(148, 152)	100	282(94.00)	265(93.97)	259(97.74)	120 (46.33)	139 (53.67)
$CD5E \times CD5E$	385 (296, 89)	86	316(82.08)	272 (86.08)	258(94.85)	129 (50.00)	129 (50.00)
$Tg3E \times Tg3E$	316(169, 147)	81	246(77.85)	234(95.12)	229(97.86)	108 (47.16)	121(52.84)
$Sb2F \ge Sb2F$	299 (179, 120)	06	257(85.95)	203 (78.99)	201(99.01)	103(51.24)	98(48.76)
TglF x TglF	335 (220, 115)	79	251 (74.92)	206 (82.07)	$206\ (100.00)$	107 (51.94)	99 (48.06)
Reciprocal cross							
$Lp3A \times Nk1B$	332 (152, 180)	90	282 (84.94)	279(98.94)	279 (100.00)	144 (51.61)	135(48.39)
Nk1B x Lp3A	358(201, 157)	95	322(89.94)	306(95.03)	291(95.10)	142(48.80)	149(51.20)
$Lp3A \ge Ns4B$	360(144, 216)	89	292(81.11)	257(88.01)	257 (100.00)	128(49.80)	129(50.20)
Ns4B x Lp3A	438 $(330, 108)$	80	342 (78.08)	291 (85.09)	291 (100.00)	131 (45.02)	160(54.98)
$Lp3A \ge Cb3C$	331 (238, 93)	97	298(90.03)	268 (89.93)	263(98.13)	132 (50.19)	131 (49.81)
$Cb3C \times Lp3A$	364 (181, 183)	100	338 (92.86)	314 (92.90)	298(94.90)	146(48.99)	152 (51.01)
$Lp3A \times Ns8C$	360(235, 125)	87	288 (80.00)	285(98.96)	259(90.88)	135 (52.12)	124 (47.88)
Ns8C x Lp3A	367 (210, 157)	84	301 (82.02)	301 (100.00)	289 (96.01)	$142 \ (49.13)$	147 (50.87)
$Lp3A \times Ur5D$	425 (170, 255)	95	374 (88.00)	366(97.86)	$366\ (100.00)$	190(51.91)	176(48.09)
Ur5D x Lp3A	360(187, 173)	88	302 (83.89)	266(88.08)	263(98.87)	126(47.91)	137 (52.09)
$Lp3A \times Ns5D$	400(215, 185)	85	328 (82.00)	279 (85.06)	259(92.83)	130 (50.19)	129(49.81)
Ns5D x Lp3A	335 (127, 208)	89	281(83.88)	267 (95.02)	267 (100.00)	139 (52.06)	128(47.94)
$Lp3A \ge Cb5E$	377 (200, 177)	92	328 (87.00)	285 (86.89)	276(96.84)	127 (46.01)	149 (53.99)
Cb5E x Lp3A	329 (200, 129)	85	263(79.94)	208 (79.09)	206(99.04)	105 (50.97)	101 (49.03)
$Lp3A \times Tg3E$	318 (190, 128)	79	248 (77.99)	236(95.16)	236 (100.00)	120(50.85)	116(49.15)
Tg3E x Lp3A	272 (189, 83)	82	212(77.94)	189 (89.15)	189 (100.00)	94 (49.74)	95 (50.26)
$Lp3A \ge Sb2F$	335 (161, 174)	88	268 (80.00)	268 (100.00)	268 (100.00)	137 (51.12)	131 (48.88)
$Sb2F \times Lp3A$	335 (96, 239)	88	281(83.88)	258(91.81)	240(93.02)	125(52.08)	115(47.92)
$Lp3A \ge Tg1F$	303 (208, 95)	75	224 (73.93)	208(92.86)	208 (100.00)	100(48.08)	108 (51.92)
Tg1F x Lp3A	295 (189, 106)	97	271 (91.86)	238 (87.82)	238 (100.00)	112 (47.06)	126(52.94)

Table 2. Crossing experiments among 11 isolines of An. peditaeniatus

Table 2. (continued)

Construction of Maley	Total eggs	Embryonation	Hatched	Pupation	Emergence	Total emerg	gence n (%)
Urosses (reman x Mare)	(number) ^a	rate^{b}	n (%)	u (%)	n (%)	Female	Male
F ₁ - hybrid cross							
(Lp3A x Nk1B) F_1 x (Lp3A x Nk1B) F_1	306 (162, 144)	93	272 (88.89)	245(90.07)	235(95.92)	115(48.94)	120 (51.06)
(Nk1B x Lp3A) F_1 x (Nk1B x Lp3A) F_1	333 (215, 118)	83	263 (78.98)	250(95.06)	250 (100.00)	120(48.00)	130 (52.00)
(Lp3A x Ns4B) F_1 x (Lp3A x Ns4B) F_1	306 (137, 169)	88	260(84.97)	250(96.15)	245(98.00)	118 (48.16)	127 (51.84)
(Ns4B x Lp3A) F_1 x (Ns4B x Lp3A) F_1	279 (156, 123)	93	246(88.17)	231(93.90)	226(97.83)	115 (50.88)	111 (49.12)
(Lp3A x Cb3C) F_1 x (Lp3A x Cb3C) F_1	313(214, 99)	98	288(92.01)	248 (86.11)	246(99.19)	116(47.15)	130 (52.85)
(Cb3Cx Lp3A)F ₁ x (Cb3C x Lp3A)F ₁	367 (241, 126)	89	297 (80.93)	276(92.93)	262(94.93)	131 (50.00)	131 (50.00)
(Lp3Ax Ns8C)F ₁ x (Lp3A x Ns8C)F ₁	351 (198, 153)	06	281 (80.06)	244 (86.83)	$244 \ (100.00)$	129 (52.87)	115(47.13)
(Ns8C x Lp3A) F_1 x (Ns8C x Lp3A) F_1	391 (135, 256)	89	317 (81.07)	276(87.07)	262(94.93)	123 (46.95)	139 (53.05)
$(Lp3A \times Ur5D)F_1 \times (Lp3A \times Ur5D)F_1$	362 (233, 129)	82	290(80.11)	281(96.90)	281 (100.00)	141 (50.18)	140(49.82)
(Ur5D x Lp3A) F_1 x (Ur5D x Lp3A) F_1	436(201, 235)	06	373 (85.55)	332 (89.01)	325(97.89)	150 (46.15)	175 (53.85)
$(Lp3A \times Ns5D)F_1 \times (Lp3A \times Ns5D)F_1$	388 (222, 166)	85	318 (81.96)	315(99.06)	312 (99.05)	162 (51.92)	150 (48.08)
(Ns5D x Lp3A) F_1 x (Ns5D x Lp3A) F_1	349 (167, 182)	97	311(89.11)	302 (97.11)	302 (100.00)	148 (49.01)	154 (50.99)
(Lp3A x Cb5E) F_1 x (Lp3A x Cb5E) F_1	320(230, 90)	84	262(81.88)	223 (85.11)	223 (100.00)	120(53.81)	$103 \ (46.19)$
(Cb5E x Lp3A) F_1 x (Cb5E x Lp3A) F_1	335(221, 114)	06	288 (85.97)	262(90.97)	254 (96.95)	119 (46.85)	135 (53.15)
(Lp3A x Tg3E) F_1 x (Lp3A x Tg3E) F_1	413(172, 241)	88	326(78.93)	313 (96.01)	$313 \ (100.00)$	156(49.84)	157 (50.16)
(Tg3E x Lp3A) F_1 x (Tg3E x Lp3A) F_1	288 (116, 172)	92	242 (84.03)	203(83.88)	199 (98.03)	105 (52.76)	94 (47.24)
(Lp3A x Sb2F) F_1 x (Lp3A x Sb2F) F_1	379 (212, 167)	79	292 (77.04)	277 (94.86)	277 (100.00)	141 (50.90)	136(49.10)
(Sb2F x Lp3A) F_1 x (Sb2F x Lp3A) F_1	379 (202, 177)	87	311(82.06)	246(79.10)	246 (100.00)	123 (50.00)	123 (50.00)
$(Lp3A \times Tg1F)F_1 \times (Lp3A \times Tg1F)F_1$	273 (169, 104)	85	218(79.85)	207 (94.95)	188(90.82)	98 (52.13)	90 (47.87)
$(Tg1F x Lp3A)F_1 x (Tg1F x Lp3A)F_1$	325(181, 144)	90	263(80.92)	258 (98.10)	245(94.96)	120(48.98)	125 (51.02)

 α : two selective egg-batches of inseminated females from each cross; b: dissection from 100 eggs; n = number

Sequencing alignment and phylogenetic analysis

Sequences of ITS2, COI and COII were aligned using the CLUSTAL W multiple alignment program (Thompson *et al.*, 1994). Gap sites were excluded from the following analysis. The Kimura two-parameter method was used to calculate genetic distances (Kimura, 1980). Construction of neighborjoining trees (Saitou & Nei, 1987) and the bootstrap test with 1,000 replications were conducted with the MEGA version 4.0 program (Tamura *et al.*, 2007).

RESULTS

Karyotypic characters

Cytogenetic observations of F₁- and/or F_2 -progenies of the 53 isolines of An. *peditaeniatus* revealed different types of sex chromosomes due to the addition of extra block(s) of heterochromatin. There were 3 types of X (metacentric X_1 , small submetacentric X2 and large submetacentric X₃) and 6 types of Y chromosomes (very small telocentric Y_1 , medium telocentric Y_2 , large telocentric Y₃, very large telocentric Y_4 , submetacentric Y_5 and medium metacentric Y_6) (Fig. 2). These types of X and Y chromosomes comprise 6 forms of mitotic karyotypes on the basis of Y chromosome configurations designated as Forms A (X₃, Y₁), B (X₁, X₂, X₃, Y₂), C (X₃, Y₃), D (X₁, X₂, X₃, Y₄), E (X₁, X₂, X₃, Y₅) and F (X₂, X_3 , Y_6). The number of isolines of these karyotypic forms occurring in different localities in 17 provinces of Thailand are shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1. Form A (X_3, Y_1) was very rare and has been detected only in Lampang province. On the other hand, Form E was quite common throughout the species' distribution in Thailand, while Forms B, C, D and F were found sporadically in several localities (Fig. 1).

Crossing experiments

Details of hatchability, pupation, emergence and adult sex-ratio of parental, reciprocal and F_1 -hybrid crosses among the 11 isolines of *An. peditaeniatus* representing Forms A-F are shown in Table 2. All crosses yielded viable progenies through to the F_2 -generations. No evidence of genetic incompatibility and/or post-mating reproductive isolation was observed among these crosses. The salivary gland polytene chromosomes of the 4th instar larvae of F_1 hybrids from all crosses showed complete synapsis without inversion loops along the whole lengths of all autosomes and the X chromosome (Fig. 3).

DNA sequences and phylogenetic analysis DNA sequences were determined and analyzed for the ITS2, COI and COII regions of the 53 isolines of An. peditaeniatus Forms A-F. They all showed the same length for the ITS2 (463 bp), COI (548 bp) and COII (672 bp) sequences. The evolutionary relationships among the 6 karyotypic forms using neighbour-joining trees were constructed (Fig. 4). The average genetic distances within and between the 6 karyotypic forms exhibited no significant difference in these DNA regions (genetic distance = 0.000-0.003). Hence, the 53 isolines were placed within a single species namely An. peditaeniatus. Additionally, these isolines showed little genetic distance difference (0.000-0.005) from An. peditaeniatus Form B from Ratchaburi province previously reported by Choochote (2011). However, the trees for ITS2, COI and COII of these isolines representing Forms A-F were clearly different from An. sinensis from Korea and An. lesteri from Korea and China with strongly supported bootstrap values (99-100%) (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

The first cytogenetic investigations of 27 isolines of *An. peditaeniatus* from 3 different localities in Thailand (Chiang Mai, Phrae and Chanthaburi provinces) were performed by Baimai *et al.* (1993). They showed that *An. peditaeniatus* exhibited karyotypic variation via a gradual increase of extra heterochromatin on X (X₁, X₂, X₃) and Y (Y₁, Y₂, Y₃, Y₄, Y₅) chromosomes. Recently,



Figure 2. Metaphase karyotypic forms of *An. peditaeniatus*. (a) Form A (X₃, Y₁: Lampang); (b) Form B (X₂, Y₂: Chumphon); (c) Form C (X₃, Y₃: Chon Buri); (d) Form D (X₃, Y₄: Mukdahan); (e) Form E (X₂, Y₅: Nakhon Sawan); (f) Form F (X₂, Y₆: Trang); (g) Form F (X₂, X₂: Trang); (h) diagrams of representative metaphase karyotype of Form F



Figure 3. Complete synapsis in all arms of salivary gland polytene chromosome of F_1 -hybrids of *An. peditaeniatus*. (a) Lp3A female x Nk1B male; (b) Lp3A female x Cb3C male; (c) Lp3A female x Ur5D male; (d) Lp3A female x Tg3E male; (e) Lp3A female x Sb2F male; (f) Lp3A female x Tg1F male



Figure 4. Phylogenetic relationships among the 53 isolines of *An. pediataeniatus* based on molecular analysis compared with *An. sinensis* and *An. lesteri*. (a) ITS2; (b) COI; (c) COII. The trees were generated by neighbor-joining analysis. Numbers on branches are bootstrap values (%) after 1,000 replications. Bootstrap values under 50% are not shown. Branch lengths are proportional to genetic distance (scale bar)

Choochote (2011) studied mitotic karyotypes of An. peditaeniatus from 8 different localities in Thailand (Chiang Mai, Nan, Kamphaeng Phet, Udon Thani, Ubon Ratchathani, Chon Buri, Ratchaburi and Chumphon provinces) and demonstrated 2 types of X (X_2, X_3) and 4 types of Y $(Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_3, Y_4, Y_3, Y_4, Y_4)$ Y₅) chromosomes forming 4 karyotypic forms tentatively designated as Forms B (X₂, X₃, Y_2), C (X_3 , Y_3), D (X_3 , Y_4) and E (X_2 , X_3 , Y_5). In this study, we have detected 3 types of X (X₁, X_2, X_3 and 6 types of Y (Y₁, Y₂, Y₃, Y₄, Y₅, Y₆) chromosomes forming 6 karyotypic forms, i.e., Forms A (X₃, Y₁), B (X₁, X₂, X₃, Y₂), C (X₃, Y_3), D (X_1 , X_2 , X_3 , Y_4), E (X_1 , X_2 , X_3 , Y_5) and F (X_2 , X_3 , Y_6). The newly discovered Form F in this study was based on the medium

metacentric Y₆ chromosome which was obviously different from the other 5 types previously reported by Baimai et al. (1993) and Choochote (2011). Clearly, the 6 distinct karyotypic forms of An. peditaeniatus were due to a gain in extra heterochromatin within sex chromosomes. The phenomenon of accumulation of heterochromatin in the genome has played an important role in karyotype evolution, at least in dipteran insects (Baimai, 1998). In addition, such a chromosome difference is very useful for cytotaxonomic study of closely related species particularly sibling species as exemplified in the Anopheles dirus complex (Baimai, 1988) and other groups of Anopheles (Kanda et al., 1981; Baimai et al., 1987;

Subbarao, 1998; Junkum *et al.*, 2005). In this study, we found an ancestral Form A (X_3, Y_1) in only one isoline from Lampang province, while Forms B, C, D, E and F were widespread in Thailand.

Crossing experiments using isoline colonies of anopheline mosquitoes to determine post-mating reproductive compatibility have proven to be efficient techniques for recognition of sibling species within the Oriental Anopheles (Kanda et al., 1981; Baimai et al., 1987; Subbarao, 1998; Junkum et al., 2005). In this regard, intensive crossing experiments among the 6 allopatric karyotypic forms of An. *peditaeniatus* showed no post-mating reproductive isolation. Hence, these results strongly suggested a conspecific nature of these karyotypic forms of An. peditaeniatus. Identical and/or very low intraspecific sequence variations (genetic distance = 0.000-0.003) of ITS2, COI and COII of the 6 karyotypic forms provided good supportive evidence. Thus our findings are in agreement with the results of hybridization experiments among the 4 karyotypic forms of An. *peditaeniatus* in Thailand previously reported by Choochote (2011). Similar studies on other anopheline species have been reported, e.g., Anopheles vagus (Choochote et al., 2002), Anopheles pullus (= An. yatsushiroensis) (Park et al., 2003), Anopheles sinensis (Choochote et al., 1998; Min et al., 2002; Park et al., 2008b), Anopheles aconitus (Junkum et al., 2005), Anopheles barbirostris species A1 and A2 (Saeung et al., 2007; Suwannamit et al., 2009), and an Anopheles campestris-like taxon (Thongsahuan et al., 2009). Thus, karyotypic variation based on extra heterochromatin in sex chromosomes seems to be a general phenomenon within the Oriental Anopheles.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by The Thailand Research Fund to W. Choochote and A. Saeung (TRF Senior Research Scholar: RTA5480006), the Higher Education Research Promotion and National Research University Project of Thailand, Office of the Higher Education Commission, Thailand to W. Maleewong and V. Lulitanond and the Diamond Research Grant of Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand. The authors would like to thank Assoc. Prof. Dr. Niwes Nantachit, Dean of the Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, for his interest in this research.

REFERENCES

- Baimai, V. (1988). Population cytogenetics of the malaria vector Anopheles leucosphyrus group. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health 19: 667-680.
- Baimai, V. (1998). Heterochromatin accumulation and karyotypic evolution in some dipteran insects. *Zoological Studies* 37: 75-88.
- Baimai, V., Andre, R.G., Harrison, B.A., Kijchalao, U. & Panthusiri, L. (1987). Crossing and chromosomal evidence for two additional sibling species within the taxon Anopheles dirus Peyton and Harrison (Diptera: Culicidae) in Thailand. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 89: 157-166.
- Baimai, V., Rattanarithikul, R. & Kijchalao, U. (1993). Metaphase karyotypes of Anopheles of Thailand and Southeast Asia: I. The hyrcanus group. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 9: 59-67.
- Choochote, W. (2011). Evidence to support karyotypic variation of the mosquito, *Anopheles peditaeniatus* in Thailand. *Journal of Insect Science* **11**: 10.
- Choochote, W., Jitpakdi, A., Rongsriyam, Y., Komalamisra, N., Pitasawat, B. & Palakul, K. (1998). Isoenzyme study and hybridization of two forms of *Anopheles* sinensis (Diptera: Culicidae) in Northern Thailand. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health 29: 841-847.
- Choochote, W., Jitpakdi, A., Sukontason, K.L., Chaithong, U., Wongkamchai, S., Pitasawat, B., Jariyapan, N., Suntaravitun, T., Rattanachanpichai, E., Sukontason, K., Leemingsawat, S. & Rongsriyam, Y. (2002). Intraspecific hybridization of two karyotypic forms of *Anopheles*

vagus (Diptera: Culicidae) and the related egg surface topography. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health **33**(3): 29-35.

- Choochote, W., Sucharit, S. & Abeywickreme, W. (1983). Experiments in crossing two strains of Anopheles barbirostris Van der Wulp 1884 (Diptera: Culicidae) in Thailand. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health 14: 204-209.
- Gingrich, J., Weatherhead, A., Sattabongkot,
 J., Pilakasiri, C. & Wirtz, Ra. (1990).
 Hyperendemic malaria in Thai Village:
 dependence of year-round transmission
 on focal and seasonally circumscribed
 mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) habitats.
 Journal of Medical Entomology 27: 1016-1026.
- Harrison, B.A. & Scanlon, J.E. (1975). Medical entomology studies II. The subgenus Anopheles in Thailand (Diptera: Culicidae). Contributions of the American Entomological Institute 12: 78.
- Junkum, A., Komalamisra, N., Jitpakdi, A., Jariyapan, N., Min, G.S., Park, M.H., Cho, K.H., Somboon, P., Bates, P.A. & Choochote, W. (2005). Evidence to support two conspecific cytological races on *Anopheles aconitus* in Thailand. *Journal of Vector Ecology* **30**: 213-224.
- Kanda, T. (1979). Improved techniques for the preparation of polytene chromosome for some anopheline mosquitoes. *Mosquito News* **39**: 568-574.
- Kanda, T., Takai, K., Chiang, G.L., Cheong, W.H. & Sucharit, S. (1981). Hybridization and some biological facts of seven strains of the *Anopheles leucosphyrus* group (Reid, 1968). Japanese Journal of Sanitary Zoology **32**: 321-329.
- Kanojia, P.C., Shetty, P.S. & Geevarghese, G. (2003). A long-term study on vector abundance & seasonal prevalence in relation to the occurrence of Japanese encephalitis in Gorakhpur district, Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 117: 104-110.

- Kim, S.J., Choochote, W., Jitpakdi, A., Junkum, A., Park, S.J. & Min, G.S. (2003). Establishment of a self-mating mosquito colony of *Anopheles sinensis* from Korea. *The Korean Journal of Parasitology* 33: 267-271.
- Kimura, M.A. (1980). Simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitution through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 16: 111-120.
- Ma, Y.J. & Xu, J.N. (2005). The Hyrcanus group of *Anopheles* (*Anopheles*) in China (Diptera: Culicidae): Species discrimination and phylogenetic relationships inferred by ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer 2 sequences. *Journal of Medical Entomology* **42**: 610-619.
- Min, G.S., Choochote, W., Jitpakdi, A., Kim, S.J., Kim, W., Jung, J. & Junkum, A. (2002). Intraspecific hybridization of *Anopheles sinensis* (Diptera: Culicidae) strains from Thailand and Korea. *Molecules and Cells* 14: 198-204.
- Park, S.J., Choochote, W., Jitpakdi, A., Junkum, A., Kim, S.J. & Jariyapan, N. (2003). Evidence for a conspecific relationship between two morphologically and cytologically different Forms of Korean Anopheles pullus mosquito. Molecules and Cells 16: 354-360.
- Park, M.H., Choochote, W., Junkum, A., Joshi, D., Tuetan, B., Saeung, A., Jung, J.H. & Min, G.S. (2008a). Reproductive isolation of *Anopheles sinensis* from *Anopheles lesteri* and *Anopheles sineroides* in Korea. *Genes and Genomics* **30**: 245-252.
- Park, M.H., Choochote, W., Kim, S.J., Somboon, P., Saeung, A., Tuetan, B., Tsuda, Y., Takagi, M., Joshi, D., Ma, Y.J. & Min, G.S. (2008b). Nonreproductive isolation among four allopatric strains of Anopheles sinensis in Asia. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 24: 489-495.
- Rattanarithikul, R., Harrison, B.A., Harbach, R.E., Panthusiri, P. & Coleman, R.E. (2006). Illustrated keys to the mosquitoes of Thailand IV. *Anopheles. Southeast*

Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health **37**(2): 1-128.

- Reid, J.A., Wilson, T. & Ganapathipillai, A. (1962). Studies on filariasis in Malaya: The mosquito vectors of periodic *Brugia* malayi in North-West Malaya. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology 56: 323-336.
- Reid, J.A. (1968). Anopheline mosquitoes of Malaya and Borneo. Studies from the Institute for Medical Research Malaysia 31: 1-520.
- Saeung, A., Otsuka, Y., Baimai, V., Somboon, P., Pitasawat, B., Tuetun, B., Junkum, A., Takaoka, H. & Choochote, W. (2007). Cytogenetic and molecular evidence for two species in the *Anopheles barbirostris* complex (Diptera: Culicidae) in Thailand. *Parasitology Research* 101: 1337-1344.
- Saitou, N. & Nei, M. (1987). The neighborjoining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 4: 406-425.
- Scanlon, J.E., Peyton, E.L. & Gould, D.J. (1968). An annotated checklist of the Anopheles of Thailand. Thai National Scientific Papers Fauna Series 2: 1-35.
- Subbarao, S.K. (1998). Anopheline species complexes in South-East Asia. World Health Organization Technical Publication Searo 18: 1-82.
- Suwannamit, S., Baimai, V., Otsuka, Y., Saeung, A., Thongsahuan, S., Tuetun, B., Apiwathnasorn, C., Jariyapan, N., Somboon, P., Takaoka, H. & Choochote, W. (2009). Cytogenetic and molecular evidence for an additional new species within the taxon *Anopheles barbirostris* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Thailand. *Parasitology Research* **104**: 905-918.

- Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. (2007). MEGA4: Molecular evolution genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 24: 1596-1599.
- Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G. & Gibson, T.J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, positions-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* **22**: 4673-4680.
- Thongsahuan, S., Baimai, V., Otsuka, Y., Saeung, A., Tuetun, B., Jariyapan, N., Suwannamit, S., Somboon, P., Jitpakdi, A., Takaoka, H. & Choochote, W. (2009).
 Karyotypic variation and geographic distribution of *Anopheles campestris*like (Diptera: Culicidae) in Thailand. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* **104**: 558-566.
- Wharton, R.H., Laing, A.B.G. & Cheong, W.H. (1963). Studies on the distribution and transmission of malaria and filariasis among aborigines in Malaya. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 57: 235-254.
- White, G.B., Coluzzi, M. & Zahar, A.R. (1975). Review of cytogenetic studies on anopheline vectors of malaria. WHO/ Mal.75.849: WHO/VBC/75.538.
- Yang, M.N. & Ma, Y.J. (2009). Molecular population genetic structure of *Anopheles lesteri* (Diptera: Culicidae) based on mtDNA COI gene sequences. *Acta Entomologica Sinica* 52: 1000-1007.
- Zhang, H.L. (1990). The natural infection rate of mosquitoes by Japanese encephalitis B virus in Yunnan Province. *Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi* 24: 265-267.